

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/785,559	BOVEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	William H. Beisner	1744

All Participants:

(1) William H. Beisner.

Status of Application: Response to non-final rejection

(3) _____.

(2) Charles L. Gagnebin, III.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 March 2004

Time: 11:00am

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Rejections of record.

Claims discussed:

All pending claims.

Prior art documents discussed:

Lee et al.(US 4,128,456)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Gagnebin was informed that the response filed 23 Dec. 2003 was sufficient to overcome the prior art rejections of record except for claim 59. Claim 59 does not appear to include the limitations suggested on page 13 of applicants' response. Mr. Gagnebin proposed canceling claim 59 and replacing it with new claim 61 that includes the limitations of dependent claim 45 previously indicated as being allowable. It was agreed to make the changes by Examiner's Amendment..